

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/772,990	HOH, ROGER H.	

All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>After non-final rejection</u>		
(1) <u>Timothy D. Collins</u> .	(3) _____.		
(2) <u>Azadeh Agahi</u> .	(4) _____.		
Date of Interview: <u>28 April 2006</u>	Time: _____		
Type of Interview:			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)			
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No			
If Yes, provide a brief description: .			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: <i>Rejections of the previous action</i>			
Claims discussed: <i>All Independent</i>			
Prior art documents discussed: <i>All prior art of the previous rejections</i>			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The applicant's attorney and the examiner discussed the allowability of claims 1,2, and 21-23 as well as the possible final rejection of the other claims. A new claim (that would be called 24) was discussed and it was determined that it would not be presented in this case. The attorney and examiner agreed that an examiner's amendment would be made which would cancel all claims other than 1,2 and 21-23 and allow those remaining..